

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/608,796	BIERINGER, MATHIAS	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Charles R. Kasenge	2125	

All Participants:

(1) Charles R. Kasenge.

(2) Gerard Messina.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 22 September 2006
Time: 10 am
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

n/a

Claims discussed:

n/a


Prior art documents discussed:

n/a

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
The attorney of record confirmed the case has been abandoned.
Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

LEO PICARD
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)